

Record in the Commens Bibliography. Retrieved from  
[http://www.commens.org/bibliography/journal\\_article/canton-j-c-forcehimes-andrew-t-2009-can-peircean-epistemic](http://www.commens.org/bibliography/journal_article/canton-j-c-forcehimes-andrew-t-2009-can-peircean-epistemic),  
28.06.2025.

---

**Type:** Article in Journal

**Author:** Canton, J. Caleb  
Forcehimes, Andrew T.

**Title:** Can Peircean Epistemic Perfectionists Bid Farewell to Deweyan Democracy?

**Year:** 2009

**Journal:** Contemporary Pragmatism

**Volume:** 6

**Issue:** 2

**Pages:** 165-183

**Keywords:** Robert Talisse, Democracy, Pluralism, John Rawls

**Abstract:** There is a tension between Robert Talisse's rejection of Deweyan democracy and his project of formulating a workable Peircean conception of democracy. If he follows Rawls in taking reasonable pluralism to be a permanent condition, then his Peircean conception of democracy is undermined. But, if he does not commit to the permanence of reasonable pluralism, then his rejection of Deweyan democracy is problematic. Since he chooses the latter interpretation, Talisse must bite the bullet and recognize that Peircean epistemic perfectionists cannot yet bid a definitive farewell to Deweyan democracy.

**Language:** English