Record in the Commens Bibliography. Retrieved from

http://www.commens.org/bibliography/journal\_article/gaultier-benoit-2016-peirces-claim-belief-should-be-banished-science, 27.04.2024.

**Type:** Article in Journal **Author:** Gaultier, Benoit

**Title:** On Peirce's Claim that Belief Should Be Banished from Science

**Year:** 2016

**Journal:** Transactions of the Charles S. Peirce Society

Volume: 52 Issue: 3

**Pages:** 390-415

**Keywords:** Belief, Science, Abduction

Abstract: In this article I examine the ground and validity of Peirce's claim that 'belief has

no place in science'. Contrary to the general view, such a claim should not be understood as merely an overreaction to William James' thesis that there can be legitimate non-evidential reasons to believe. For Peirce, believing that something is the case implies, at least when believing takes a certain form, a kind of dogmatism incompatible with the proper conduct of inquiry towards truth. In this paper, I examine two ways in which Peirce argues for the 'no belief in science' thesis. I first discuss 'his claim that belief is incompatible with the 'Will to Learn'. Peirce argues that believing that p in such a way that one does not have any real doubts about whether p implies that one has a dogmatic attitude vis-à-vis possible future evidence that not- p; I claim that this anticipates the line of reasoning that supports Kripke's 'paradox of dogmatism'. After having indicated how they can both be resisted, I examine a second waywhich seems to have been overlooked in Peirce scholarship-in which the founder of pragmatism argues for the 'no belief in science' thesis. Peirce often relates this thesis to his view of abduction and the nature of explanatory hypotheses: the conclusion of an abductive inference is not, and should not be, the belief that a given explanatory hypothesis H is true, probably true, or likely to be true, but rather that H is such that it is a possible explanation of fact F.

ISSN: 00091774
Language: English