

Record in the Commens Bibliography. Retrieved from [http://www.commens.org/bibliography/journal\\_article/upshur-ross-1997-certainty-probability-and-abduction-why-we-should-look](http://www.commens.org/bibliography/journal_article/upshur-ross-1997-certainty-probability-and-abduction-why-we-should-look), 02.03.2021.

---

**Type:** Article in Journal  
**Author:** Upshur, Ross  
**Title:** Certainty, Probability and Abduction: why we should look to C.S. Peirce rather than Gödel for a theory of clinical reasoning  
**Year:** 1997  
**Journal:** Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice  
**Volume:** 3  
**Issue:** 3  
**Pages:** 201-206  
**Keywords:** Clinical reasoning, Evidence-based medicine, Logic, Proof, Statistics  
**Abstract:** This paper argues that Gödel's proof does not provide the appropriate conceptual basis on which to counter the claims of evidence-based medicine. The nature of, and differences between, deductive, inductive and abductive inference are briefly surveyed. The work of the American logician C.S. Peirce is introduced as a possible framework for a theory of clinical reasoning which can ground the claims of both evidence-based medicine and its critics.  
**DOI:** 10.1046/j.1365-2753.1997.00004.x  
**Language:** English